



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,950	08/22/2001	Fumio Miyajima	0038-0365P	7501
2292	7590 05/21/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 74° FALLS CHU	7 JRCH, VA 22040-0747	CH, VA 22040-0747 ORTIZ, ANGELA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		•	O
	Application N .	plicant(s)	
	09/933,950	MIYAJIMA, FUMIO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela Ortiz	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	V 10 057 70 5VDIDE -		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of twill apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11,	April 2003		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			s is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-18 is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∭ approved b)∭	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))). ·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		ition).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.	,.
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, •
Potent and Todamed Office			



Application/Control Number: 09/933,950

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-10 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examination of both inventions can be made without serious burden, and further, that the criteria for restriction has not been met. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are independent and distinct as shown by their separate classification, and the reasons given for restriction; and burden has been demonstrated, as the search for one group is not required for the other group.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The words "and Resin Molding Machine" should be deleted.

Art Unit: 1732

Claim R jections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Seng et al., USP 6,439,869.

The cited reference teaches the claimed method of resin molding, including covering an area of a die with release film, clamping a component between an upper die and lower die and evacuating air from the die area by drawing a vacuum and sealing the resin film adjacent the area and filling the cavity with molding resin. Note that the component may comprise an electronic device on a substrate. Note that air conduits are also provided. See figure 4(c) wherein the release film is removed from the mold and final product. See col. 4, lines 8-18, 25-27, 33-42, 60-67; col. 5, lines 1-15, 35-40, 49-65; col. 6, lines 1-5, 30-65; col. 7, lines 15-20; col. 8, lines 5-12.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA



Art Unit: 1732

1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,261,501. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both methods set forth resin molding, including covering an area of a die with release film, clamping a component between an upper die and lower die and evacuating air to seal the release film against the die as well as the component and filling the cavity with molding resin.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USP's 5800841; 5824252; 5891384; 5891483; 6187243; 6344162; 6444157; 6478562.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 703-308-4446.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,950

Art Unit: 1732

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Angela Ortiz

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

ao May 10

May 19, 2003